To: Nonresponsive based on revised scope Dtetratech.com]  From: Wagner, Christine[/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=12068C1889924ECF96F692831F0C77D4-CWAGNE02]  Sent: Thur 2/4/2021 1:12:54 PM (UTC)  Subject: Fwd: 49243 MC0BZ7 SHILOH CHURCH ROAD REMOVAL
After you complete your sampling, can you please work on this issue?
Thank you Chris
Begin forwarded message:
From: "Graybill, Eric" <graybill.eric@epa.gov> Date: February 4, 2021 at 7:32:04 AM EST To: "Wagner, Christine" <wagner.christine@epa.gov> Subject: 49243 MC0BZ7 SHILOH CHURCH ROAD REMOVAL</wagner.christine@epa.gov></graybill.eric@epa.gov>
Hello Christine,
The chain of custody documentation does not support an unbroken chain of custody for sampling conducted for RAS # 49243 SDG # MCOBZ7 Site: SHILOH CHURCH ROAD REMOVAL.
It appears both organic and inorganic samples were shipped to the wrong labs with faulty COCs and faulty tran-ship documentation. The original COCs had an incorrect case number on it. There is a revised Inorganic COC with the correct case number and 'tranship' listed in the 'item/reason' column but there is no chain of relinquishing and receiving. The Organic samples meant for Pace were in the Chemtech cooler. Samples were trans-shipped between Pace and Chemtech with no documentation. The organic case doesn't even mention trans-shipment at all. There are no chains of custody relinquishing the original receipt of the organic samples.
I request the sampler piece together the story and whatever documentation they can for a Memo to file that would give us the basis for accepting data.
We can setup a TEAMs meeting if you would like to discuss.
Thanks
Eric